



North Dakota Licensed Child Care Capacity Snapshot

as of June 30, 2017



The following chart depicts newly licensed capacity per month, as well as capacity changes within existing licensed programs, and how much capacity was lost due to programs closing. The sum of those totals equals the net capacity for each month.



The chart on the following page documents the potential need for child care in each county, the current capacity of licensed child care, and the % to which that child care supply meets the potential demand. The Capacity Goals columns (yellow) indicate how many new spots would be needed to meet 30%, 40%, or 50% of potential demand.



North Dakota Licensed Child Care Capacity Snapshot

	July, 2015				June, 2017		Capacity Goals		
	Children Ages 0 to 5 potentially needing child care (NDKC)	Children Ages 6 to 13 potentially needing child care (NDKC)	Capacity of licensed child care (CCA)	Extent licensed care meets potential demand	Net Change in licensed capacity since 7/1/15	Current extent licensed care meets potential demand	Additional capacity needed to meet 30% of demand	Additional capacity needed to meet 40% of demand	Additional capacity needed to meet 50% of demand
North Dakota	38,814	50,970	34,582	38.5%	1,901	40.6%	-	-	8,409
Adams	130	105	104	44.3%	8	47.7%	-	-	5
Barnes	547	812	376	27.7%	159	39.4%	-	8	144
Benson	378	593	48	4.9%	9	5.9%	234	331	429
Billings	54	53	0	0.0%	15	14.0%	17	28	38
Bottineau	246	354	301	50.2%	-3	49.7%	-	-	2
Bowman	202	284	138	28.4%	10	30.4%	(2)	46	95
Burke	100	146	84	34.2%	-3	33.0%	(7)	17	42
Burleigh	5,305	6,893	4,488	36.8%	-19	36.6%	-	410	1,630
Cass	10,193	11,410	12,544	58.1%	1729	66.1%	-	-	-
Cavalier	154	199	104	29.5%	47	42.8%	-	(10)	25
Dickey	322	461	338	43.2%	-26	39.9%	-	1	79
Divide	92	120	97	45.7%	-31	31.1%	-	19	40
Dunn	130	266	83	21.0%	-23	15.2%	59	98	138
Eddy	126	177	93	30.7%	-15	25.8%	13	43	73
Emmons	160	282	134	30.3%	-23	25.1%	22	66	110
Foster	134	282	112	26.9%	-11	24.3%	24	65	107
Golden Valley	86	147	79	33.9%	23	43.8%	-	-	14
Grand Forks	3,252	4,536	3,173	40.7%	94	42.0%	-	-	627
Grant	84	176	57	21.9%	9	25.4%	12	38	64
Griggs	109	134	39	16.1%	52	37.5%	(18)	6	30
Hettinger	89	200	128	44.3%	-13	39.8%	-	1	30
Kidder	92	164	48	18.8%	0	18.8%	29	54	80
Lamoure	202	309	181	35.4%	-12	33.1%	-	35	86
Logan	72	168	72	30.0%	35	44.7%	-	-	13
McHenry	228	360	176	29.9%	5	30.8%	-	54	113
McIntosh	164	183	114	32.8%	34	42.6%	-	-	26
McKenzie	391	603	260	26.2%	-5	25.7%	43	142	242
McLean	358	573	312	33.5%	-37	29.5%	4	98	191
Mercer	404	616	266	26.1%	24	28.4%	16	118	220
Morton	1,761	2,247	1,211	30.2%	-194	25.4%	185	586	987
Mountrail	436	668	167	15.1%	-8	14.4%	172	283	393
Nelson	127	208	99	29.6%	42	42.1%	-	(7)	26
Oliver	121	118	22	9.2%	0	9.2%	50	74	97
Pembina	306	535	275	32.7%	-32	28.9%	-	93	178
Pierce	250	342	159	26.9%	-44	19.4%	63	122	181
Ramsey	562	938	827	55.1%	65	59.5%	-	-	-
Ransom	230	468	250	35.8%	-21	32.8%	-	50	120
Renville	143	183	49	15.0%	13	19.0%	36	68	101
Richland	818	1,074	899	47.5%	91	52.3%	-	-	(44)
Rolette	779	1,286	154	7.5%	6	7.7%	460	666	873
Sargent	194	320	180	35.0%	-22	30.8%	-	47	99
Sheridan	5	64	17	24.7%	0	24.7%	4	11	17
Sioux	281	457	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	221	295	369
Slope	45	35	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	24	32	40
Stark	1,291	2,020	975	29.4%	-37	28.3%	55	387	718
Steele	71	134	52	25.3%	7	28.7%	3	23	44
Stutsman	1,303	1,382	961	35.8%	-19	35.1%	-	132	400
Towner	115	179	99	33.6%	-55	15.0%	44	74	103
Traill	454	611	449	42.2%	82	49.9%	-	-	1
Walsh	522	839	388	28.5%	26	30.4%	(6)	130	266
Ward	3,860	4,326	2,469	30.2%	-174	28.0%	161	979	1,798
Wells	148	264	99	24.0%	25	30.1%	-	41	82
Williams	1,188	1,608	832	29.8%	118	34.0%	-	168	448